WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Date: 6/6/33 Inspector: Kyle, BACK-Strom						
Time:	2:11					
		Yes	. No	Notes		
CCR I	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	4)				
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X			
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X			
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.	:	×			
CCR F	igitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))				
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	χ		egger (1999 - 1994), eff med en grip verseck ann sin samt komerlien in en en ei		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	X				
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		×			
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?	entin kitalaisi alkis kii kis	X			
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		χ	The second secon		
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	人				
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	· a. A. A.	入			
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		$ \mathcal{X} $			

Additional Notes:	\$	
		ئۆ رىي ئىستىنىڭ بىلىكىنى بىلىلىنىيىن سىرىكىنىكىنىكىنىكىنىكىنىكىنىكىنىكىكىنىكىكىنىكىكىنىكىكىنىكىكىنىكىكىنىكىكىنىك ئىدىنى